

z2-Environment - Feature #885

Support more DataSource flags w.r.t JTA

27.08.2012 16:15 - Henning Blohm

Status:	Rejected	Start date:	27.08.2012
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	Henning Blohm	% Done:	0%
Category:	z2-base	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	2.1		
origin:			
Description			
<p>Currently, the default is the enlist in the work unit (and hence re-use along the workunit) or not at all.</p> <p>Enlisting in the workunit "transparently" integrates with JTA. But sometimes this is not desired as the current behavior may create long-running transactions unintendedly (just some activity outside of a JTA tx).</p> <p>It would hence be nice to support the following additional behaviors:</p> <p>a) Require JTA transaction present ("JTA transaction required")</p> <p>This is useful, if you really want to manage transaction demarcation and fail hard, if there is none.</p> <p>b) Enlist in workunit if there is a JTA tx, otherwise return an autocommit connection ("JTA supported")</p> <p>This is useful, if you are ok with non-TX stuff but still want to have control over TX demarcation.</p> <p>Now a) has a downside: Some utilities (e.g. flyway, quartz) can be used with JNDI data sources but will not integrate with JTA.</p> <p>For those exceptional cases, the data source lookup could be performed with a specialized marker interface (?type=...NonJTARequiringDatasource, ?type=JTAAutocommittingDatasource etc).</p>			

History

#1 - 07.09.2012 09:37 - Udo Offermann

- Category set to z2-base

#2 - 08.09.2012 10:48 - Henning Blohm

- Status changed from New to Rejected

As it turns out, supporting an arbitrary JTA impl with some specific pool is not really going to work well.

Instead: Use z2 jta as a simple pseudo-distributed JTA impl, switch completely to Atomikos or the like otherwise.

See als [How to TransactionManager](#).